PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

PCT

INTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY REPORT ON PATENTABILITY

(Chapter I of the Patent Cooperation Treaty)

(PCT Rule 44bis)

| Applicant's or agent's file reference 0501-PCT-5 | FOR FURTHER ACTION | See item 4 below | <u> </u> | |
|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------------|----------|--|
| International application No. PCT/US2004/017456 | International filing date (day/month/year) 28 May 2004 (28.05.2004) | Priority date (day/month/year) 30 May 2003 (30.05.2003)] | | |
| International Patent Classification (IPC) or national classification and IPC ⁷ A61K 38/00 | | | | |
| Applicant AMYLIN PHARMACEUTICALS, IN | C. | | | |

| 1. | This international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) is issued by the International Bureau on behalf of the International Searching Authority under Rule 44 bis.1(a). | | | | |
|----|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------|-----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2. | This REPORT consists of a total of 6 sheets, including this cover sheet. | | | | |
| | In the attached sheets, any reference to the written opinion of the International Searching Authority should be read as a reference to the international preliminary report on patentability (Chapter I) instead. | | | | |
| 3. | . This report contains indications relating to the following items: | | | | |
| | Box No. I | Basis of the report | | | |
| | Вох №. П | Priority | | | |
| | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opin applicability | ion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial | | |
| | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention | | | |
| | Box No. V | | Article 35(2) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial explanations supporting such statement | | |
| | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited | | | |
| | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the inter | national application | | |
| | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the | e international application | | |
| 4. | 4. The International Bureau will communicate this report to designated Offices in accordance with Rules 44bis.3(c) and 93bis.1 but not, except where the applicant makes an express request under Article 23(2), before the expiration of 30 months from the priority date (Rule 44bis.2). | | | | |
| | | · | | | |
| | | | Date of issuance of this report 01 December 2005 (01.12.2005) | | |
| | The International Pure | ou of WIDO | Authorized officer | | |

Masashi Honda

Telephone No. +41 22 338 70 10

Facsimile No. +41 22 740 14 35 Form PCT/IB/373 (January 2004)

The International Bureau of WIPO 34, chemin des Colombettes

1211 Geneva 20, Switzerland

PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

DECED 2 0 AUG 2005

| From the | | | TATEMI COOLE | MATION INC | AII | HEC'D Z 9 AUU ZUUS |
|--------------------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|--------------------------------------------------------|----------------------------------------------|------------------------------------|------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| | TIONAL SEAR | CHING AUTI | HORITY | | | WIPO PO |
| ARNOL | R. MARSH, PH. D & PORTER | | | | PC | T |
| 555 TWELFTH STREET, NW. WASHINGTON, DC 20004-1206 | | WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY | | | | |
| | | | | | (PCT Rule | 43bis.1) |
| | | | | Date of mailing (day/month/year) | 26 | AUG 2003 |
| Applican | t's or agent's fil- | e reference | | FOR FURTHER ACTION | | |
| 0501-PC | | | . | | See paragraph 2 | 2 below |
| Internatio | onal application N | ło. | International filing date | (day/month/year) | Priority date (| day/month/year) |
| PCT/USO | | fii (mon | 28 May 2004 (28.05.20) | 04) | 30 May 2003 | (30.05.2003) |
| | | | or both national classifica | tion and IPC | | |
| IPC(7): A Applicant | 161K 38/00 and U | US CI.: 514/7 | | | | |
| •• | · I PHARMQCEU | TICAL, INC. | | | | |
| 1 771 1 | | | | | | |
| 1. Inis | opinion contains | indications rel | ating to the following item | is: | | |
| \boxtimes | Box No. I | Basis of the | opinion | | | |
| | Вох №. П | Priority | | | | |
| | Box No. III | Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability | | | | |
| \boxtimes | Box No. IV | Lack of unity of invention | | | | |
| \boxtimes | Box No. V | Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement | | | | |
| | Box No. VI | Certain documents cited | | | | |
| | Box No. VII | Certain defects in the international application | | | | |
| | Box No. VIII | Certain observations on the international application | | | | |
| 2. FUR | THER ACTIO | N | • | | | |
| If a de Intern Autho | emand for international Preliminal | ational prelimi ary Examining is one to be the | ; Authority ("IPEA") exc | ept that this does in EA has notified the | not apply wher International Bi | be a written opinion of the e the applicant chooses an ureau under Rule 66.1bis(b) |
| mailin | a written reply | together, whe ISA/220 or bef | re appropriate, with ame ore the expiration of 22 m | ndments, before the | expiration of | at is invited to submit to the 3 months from the date of ever expires later. |
| 3. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. | | | | | | |

Authorized officer

Jennifer Ione Harle

Telephone No. (571) 272-1600

Mail Stop PC1, Attn: ISA/US
Commissioner for Patents
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
Facsimile No. (703) 305-3230
Form PCT/ISA/237 (cover sheet) (January 2004)

Name and mailing address of the ISA/ US Mail Stop PCT, Atm: ISA/US

International application No.

PCT/US04/17456

| Box 140. 1 basis of this opinion |
|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| |
| 1. With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item. |
| This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)). |
| 2. With regard to any nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence disclosed in the international application and necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of: |
| a. type of material |
| a sequence listing |
| table(s) related to the sequence listing |
| b. format of material |
| in written format |
| in computer readable form |
| c. time of filing/furnishing |
| contained in international application as filed. |
| filed together with the international application in computer readable form. |
| furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search. |
| |
| In addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto has been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional copies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as appropriate, were furnished. |
| 4. Additional comments: |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| |
| DOTTING LOCATION AND ADMINISTRATION OF THE PROPERTY OF THE PRO |

International application No.

PCT/US04/17456

| В | ox No. IV Lack of unity of invention |
|------|--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|
| 1. | In response to the invitation (Form PCT/ISA/206) to pay additional fees the applicant has: paid additional fees paid additional fees under protest not paid additional fees |
| 2. | This Authority found that the requirement of unity of invention is not complied with and chose not to invite the applicant |
| 3. | to pay additional fees. This Authority considers that the requirement of unity of invention in accordance with Rule 13.1, 13.2 and 13.3 is |
| | complied with |
| | not complied with for the following reasons: |
| | See the lack of unity section of the International Search Report(Form PCT/ISA/210) |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| 4. C | Consequently, this opinion has been established in respect of the following parts of the international application: |
| • | all parts. |
| | the parts relating to claims Nos. 1-3, 4 (in part), 6, 7 (in part), 8, 9 (in part), 10 (in part), 15-26 and 44 |
| | |
| | |

International application No. PCT/US04/17456

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43 bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement YES Novelty (N) Claims Please See Continuation Sheet NO Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES Inventive step (IS) Claims Please See Continuation Sheet NO Claims Please See Continuation Sheet YES Industrial applicability (IA) Claims Please See Continuation Sheet Claims Please See Continuation Sheet NO

2. Citations and explanations:

Claims 1-3, 4 (in part), 6, 7 (in part), 8, 9 (in part), 10 (in part), 15-26 and 44 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(2), because the prior art does not teach the combination of a exendin-4, polyarginine, and acetic acid, where pH of the composition and the acetic acid does not cause precipitation of the polyarginine and has a mono-anionic or neutral net charge.

Claims 1-3, 4 (in part), 6, 7 (in part), 8, 9 (in part), 10 (in part), 15-26 and 44 meet the criteria set out in PCT Article 33(4), and thus have industrial applicability because the subject matter claimed can be made or used in industry.

Young discloses a pharmaceutical compostion and method for using exendin-4 for transmucosal administration using an acetate/glutamate buffer/ (comprises acetic acid/glutamic acid), with a pH in the range of 3-7 and further ingredients including mannitol, m-cresol, methylcellulose and other excipients as needed, i.e. bioadhesive agents are well-known additional excipients in the art and carbomer and polycarbophil are two very well known bioadhesive agents used. As per the amounts of the components it is routine in the art to optimize components dependant upon the specific use and reagents. Abstract, pg. 12, lines 17-20, pp. 12-13, lines 21-5, pp. 13-14, lines, 19-4, pp. 16-21, pp. 23-24, pp. 32-33, pp. 51-53, 56-69-70. Moreover, most of the ranges taught by Young overlap those claimed and thus optimization would be even more routine to ensure compatibility with the polyarginine. Pp. 13-14, lines, 19-4, pp. 16-21, pp. 23-24, 56-70. Young does not disclose the use of polyarginine, however, he does disclose the need to find better transmucosal routes of administration because the properties of peptides and proteins make them difficult to utilize. See pp. 12-13. Ryser discloses that cationic polypeptides and in particular polyarginine effect or enhance cellular uptake of molecules which are either excluded from or are poorly taken up by cells, i.e. the same problems set forth by Young, for some proteins as much as by a factor of several hundred fold and dramatically increases cellular transport of molecules such as drugs cofactors nucleotides nucleotide analogs - gaining a very important advantage by using selected cationic polymers, such as poly-L-lysine and poly-L-arginine, which are excellent substrates for physiologic proteolytic enzymes present in mammalian cells, i.e. after having served as a transport carrier, they can be digested or otherwise broken down inside the cells into normal physiologic by-products. Cols. 3-4. Ryser further discloses that there are a wide variety of molecules which can be covalently bonded to cationic polymers including, peptides and that typically the positively charged groups are primary, secondary or tertiary amines which ionize at or around neutral pH, i.e. the range claimed to prevent precipitation, and that cationic poly(amino acids are preferred because of the outstanding enhancement in cellular uptake which they provide along with the digestion by procolytic enzyme some poly(amino acids), i.e. polyarginine, provide. Cols. 5-6. Moreover, Ryser discloses that polycationic amino acids have multiple uses including chemotherapeutic applications antimicrobial applications genetic diseases, storage diseases, enhancing cellular uptake of polypeptide hormones such as insulin, cellular transport for other molecules having biological functions, i.e. exendin-4 - which as taught by applicant treats diabetes and lowers plasma glucose or delays and or slows gastric emptying or inhibiting food intake. Cols. 15-16. Thus it would have been obvious to utilize polyarginine with the combinations in Young for the express benefits taught by Ryser and to negate the problems set for in Young.

International application No. PCT/US04/17456

| In case the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient. | |
|---------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------------|----|
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| V.1. Reasoned Statements: The opinion as to Novelty was positive (Yes) with respect to claims 1-3, 4 (in part), 6, 7 (in part), 8, 9 (in part, 10 (in part), 15-20 and 44 | б, |
| The opinion as to Novelty was negative (No) with respect to claims NONE The opinion as to Inventive Step was positive (Yes) with respect to claims NONE The opinion as to Inventive Step was negative(NO) with respect to claims 1-3, 4 (in part), 6, 7 (in part), 8, 9 (in part, 10 (in part)) |), |
| 15-26, and 44 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was positive (YES) with respect to claims 1-3, 4 (in part), 6, 7 (in part), 8, 9 (in part, 1 | |
| (in part), 15-26, and 44 The opinion as to Industrial Applicability was negative(NO) with respect to claims NONE | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |
| | |